



jugoslovenski komitet  
pravnika za ljudska prava  
centar protiv torture

# tortura

instrument protiv demokratije

međunarodni dokumenti

zakonodavstvo

slučajevi

priredio

mr Dejan Milenković

Beograd  
2001

## C. Bringing Cases to Court Legal Aspects of Fighting torture<sup>1</sup>

*Dr Stevan Lilic*

### I.

Legal aspects of fighting against torture rest on the concept of the *Legal State* and the principle of the *Rule of Law* – the paramount moral and legal values that are incorporated in the very foundation of Western, and particularly European civilization<sup>2</sup>. In this respect their significance is essential for implementing the notion of *legality* of governmental decisions, as without the framework of the legal state and the rule of law, no modern governmental system can be imagined.

As opposed to this formal concept of legality, modern concepts base their fundamental principles on the idea of the rule of law and human rights. The legality of government and administrative action, therefore, does not *ipso facto* include the legitimacy

---

<sup>1</sup> Report presented at the APT Conference (Athens, October, 1999).

<sup>2</sup> Lord Lloyd of Hampstead, M.D.A. Freedman, *Lloyd's Introduction to Jurisprudence*, Stevens Carswell, London/Toronto, 1985.

of these actions. In order to achieve legitimacy, government bodies and administrative agencies must also achieve *in concreto* legitimacy of each action they undertake or decision they render, through various instruments and mechanisms of government and administrative control (e.g. parliamentary debate, hearings, judicial review, ombudsman interventions, etc.). Consequently, modern concepts of legitimacy, based on the idea of the rule of law and human rights derive from the premise that a governmental action is legitimate not by virtue of the status of the subject or legality of the procedure, but by virtue of substantial values incorporated in these actions and decisions.<sup>3</sup>

## II.

Regrettably, even today, torture remains as one of the most frequent breaches of fundamental human rights. As stated, "...throughout history in many civilizations all over the world, torture has been used as a legal means of extracting confessions and punishing convicted persons. Only at the beginning of the 18th century did European states abolish the use of torture. (...) In actual fact, whether prohibited or not, torture and other forms of ill treatment have never ceased. Innumerable conflicts and tensions all over the world foster their continued widespread use."<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Serge Alain Mescheriakoff, *The Vagaries of Administrative Legitimacy*, International Review of Administrative Sciences, vol. 56, no. 2, 1990.

<sup>4</sup> Didier Rouget, *The Prevention of Torture in Europe*, APT, Geneve, 1998, p. 9.

As torture represents a serious violation of human rights that still has not been suppressed in a satisfactory manner, it is necessary to fight torture not only within the nation or regional scale, but also on a wider international scope.<sup>5</sup> This particularly implies, apart from dealing with physiological, psychological and social aspects of the consequences of torture, the need of legal intervention and protection of the torture victim, including rendering justice, i.e. definitely resolving the matter by bringing torture cases to court.

Having this in mind, a number of international documents related to the protection of human rights *explicate* include the prohibition of torture and other forms of ill-treatment. The prohibition of torture is regarded as an imperative of international law. To make it effective, specific international, European and national mechanisms have been devised to fight against torture.<sup>6</sup>

On the international level, the most prominent of these documents is the United Nations *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* (1948), that states that, "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment" (Art. 5). Deriving from the Universal Declaration, several other international documents under UN auspices have been adopted. The *Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman and*

---

<sup>5</sup> *Methods of Combating Torture*, UN Fact Sheets No. 4, 1987.

<sup>6</sup> *Ibidem*.

*Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (1987), in Article 1. gives a detailed legal formulation as to what torture implies. Also, this Convention established a *Committee Against Torture (CAT)* as a operative instrument of fighting against torture. The Committee examines national reports and may make whatever general comments on the reports followed by recommendations to the states that submitted the reports. Another important international document is the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* (1967). The Covenant also *explicate* states that: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subject without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation" (Art. 7). The Covenant established the *Committee for Human Rights* as a operative instrument for the protection of various breaches of human rights. To these UN documents and instruments, also the *International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination* (1965), the establishment of the institution of *Special UN Human Rights Rapporteurs*, as well as the *UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture*, should be added.

On the international level, other organizations, apart from the UN have also in other respective ways joined the struggle against torture. These include the *International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)*, the *United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)*, the *International Labor Organization (ILO)* and the *Inter-Parliamentary Union*.

On the European level,<sup>7</sup> The Council of Europe adopted the *European Convention on Human Rights* (1950), that, *inter alia*, states that: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment" (Art. 31).

Deriving from this idea and motivation, the Committee of Ministers, acting on a motion from the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, adopted the *European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (1987). The Convention set up a non-judicial preventive mechanism based on visits and an operative body called the *European Committee for The Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (CPT) that is authorized to visit all places at all times.<sup>8</sup> Subsequently, other European institutions set up their respective mechanisms and operative instruments related to torture prevention; e.g. the European Union provides that a person may submit a *petition to the European Parliament* (Art. 138-D of the Maastricht Treaty), while the *Organization for Security and Co-Operation in Europe* (OSCE) provides assistance through its *missions (of experts and*

---

<sup>7</sup> Cf.: *The Prevention of Torture in Europe – Collected Texts*, APT, Geneva, December 1997.

<sup>8</sup> For essential distinctions between the Committee Against Torture of the UN (CAT) and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT) of the APT see: Bent Sorensen, *NGO's and International Monitoring Mechanisms*, Discussion paper presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.



*monitors*) and the *Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR)*.

Particular activity in Europe on torture issues is undertaken by the *Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT)* that was founded in 1977 and its *Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT)* founded in 1990.<sup>9</sup> The APT is particularly active in supporting universal, as well as regional mechanisms for the prevention of torture.<sup>10</sup>

### III.

One of the most difficult aspects of torture is the presentation of torture cases in legal and court procedures. In relation to the Committee for the

---

<sup>9</sup> For more information and details, see: Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT), *Annual Report*, Geneva, 1998; The European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT), APT, Geneva, November 1997.

<sup>10</sup> "The APT would like to stress that the negotiations for a universal mechanism are still going on within the UN. The APT is actively involved in this project of an Optional Protocol to the UN Convention Against Torture. The UN, as a universal organization, could give real credibility and legitimacy to a visiting mechanism on an universal level, as it does indeed to other existing instruments for the defense of human rights. Developing and non-European countries actively participate in the negotiations within the working group of the UN Human Rights Commission. At its last session in October of this year, under the direction of a new chair, the working group reiterated the need for a universal mechanism that is preventive in nature." (Cf.: Marco Mona, *The Prevention of Torture at The Dawn of a New Millennium*, Strasbourg, November 1999, APT On-line, [www.apt.ch](http://www.apt.ch)).

Prevention of Torture, it is pointed out that: "...The CPT is not a judicial body and it is not bound by the jurisprudence developed under the European Convention for Human Rights (ECHR), although it is, of course, able to draw guidance from it."<sup>11</sup> Since it is not the role of the Committee to establish whether there has been a breach of Article 3 of the ECHR the CPT has no formal need to set out its approach to its key terms – *torture* and *inhuman or degrading treatment* or punishment. The Committee is concerned with prevention, with the future rather than the past. This is the theory. (...) In reality, there is a two-way relationship between the CPT and the Court: decisions made under the ECHR guide the CPT and the findings of the CPT may both stimulate petitions and on occasion may directly influence the application of Article 3. For these reasons it is important to consider how the CPT has used the words *torture* and *inhuman and degrading treatment*."<sup>12</sup>

Legal aspects, referring to case law of the European Court for Human Rights has also come under complex examination: "...The European Court of Human Rights addresses torture and torture prevention in a variety of ways. Most obviously, Article

---

<sup>11</sup> For a comparative examination of their various approaches to Article 3 of the ECHR see Peukert, W. (1999): *The European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and the European Convention on Human Rights* (in Morgan and Evans, Protecting Prisoners, Oxford, 1999, Chapter 3).

<sup>12</sup> Malcom Evans, Rod Morgen, *Hidden Secrets at the Heart of the CTP*, APT On-line, [www.apr.ch](http://www.apr.ch).



3. contains an outright prohibition of all forms of "torture or inhumane or degrading treatment or punishment", but this is also complimented by a variety of the convention provisions which touch upon the enjoyment of this right. The clearest examples of these are articles 5 and 6 which concern the right of liberty and security and the right to a fair trial. (...). In a sense then, just as it is wrong to suggest that torture and torture prevention is addressed only by Article 3, it is equally wrong to suggest that by adding Articles 5 and 6 to the list completes the picture. It does not. At the same time, it is true that when moving beyond these articles one is entering into (new) borderlands of protection (...).<sup>13</sup>

The complexity of legal aspects on torture are not only pointed out in European experience and cases, but other as well.<sup>14</sup> Torture related issues frequently come under immigration cases.<sup>15</sup> E.g. the UN Committee Against Torture (CAT) ruled that the

---

<sup>13</sup> Malcom Evans, *The European Court of Human Rights: The Impact of Case-Law on the Prevention of Torture, Report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>14</sup> Cf.: Kristen Rosati, *The United Nations Convention Against Torture: A Detailed Examination of The Convention as an Alternative for Asylum Seekers*, Immigration Briefings, February 1998.

<sup>15</sup> Cf.: Elisa Massimino (Director, Lawyers Committee for Human Rights, Washington), *Relief From Deportation under Article 3. of the UN Convention Against Torture*.

petitor, a Zairian, had demonstrated that there were substantial grounds for believing that she would be in danger of being subjected to torture in Zaire and held that, therefore Sweden had the obligation to refrain from forcibly returning her to Zaire.<sup>16</sup>

#### IV.

In the area of South-Eastern Europe, the Balkans and particularly in Yugoslavia, human rights violations, including torture and ill-treatment have been increasing in the past period. The role of the NGO's is a very significant one. As stressed: "...NGO's, both national and international are voices of civil society and therefore play an important role in the promotion and protection of human rights in UN body system. Since they defend specific interests, their views are often more focused than the governments'."<sup>17</sup> On the subject of torture respective regional NGO reports (e.g. Albania,<sup>18</sup> Bosnia and

---

<sup>16</sup> Cf.: *Decision of the Committee Against Torture*, UNHCR, May 8, 1996.

<sup>17</sup> Cf.: *Background Information*, Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999, p. 3.

<sup>18</sup> Cf.: Albanian Center Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims, *Totalitarian Communist State and Torture in Albania (Consequences, Rehabilitation and Prevention)*, Report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

Herzegovina,<sup>19</sup> Bulgaria,<sup>20</sup> Croatia,<sup>21</sup> Macedonia,<sup>22</sup> Moldavia,<sup>23</sup> Romania,<sup>24</sup> etc.) point to this. Also

---

<sup>19</sup> Cf.: Sabina Popovic, *Torture, Consequences and Rehabilitation*, Center for Torture Victims, Sarajevo, 1999.

<sup>20</sup> Dinko Kanchev (Bulgarian Lawyers for Human Rights), *The Role of the NGO's in Drafting New Legal Rules Related to Visiting Places of Detention: The Experience of Bulgaria*, Report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>21</sup> Cf.: Zoran Pusic (Civic Committee for Human Rights, Zagreb), *Some Examples of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment in Croatia*, Summary report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>22</sup> Cf.: Branko Naumovski (Public Attorney – Ombudsman – of the Republic of Macedonia), *The Role of the Public Attorney (The Ombudsman) in the Prevention of Torture and Other Forms of Humiliating and Inhuman treatment and Punishment of Persons Deprived of Freedom*, Report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>23</sup> Cf.: Stefan Urito (Moldavian Committee for Human Rights), *International Human Rights Instruments – Instruments in the Hands of Non-Governmental Organizations: The Moldavian Experience*, Report presented at the Association for the Prevention of Torture (APT) International Conference *NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe*, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>24</sup> Camelia Doru, *Redress and Compensation of the Victims*, Abstract presented at the Association for the

active in this area is the BAN (Balkans Network) established in 1997.

## V.

One of the active NGO's in Yugoslavia and Serbia is the *Yugoslav Lawyers Committee for Human Rights* and its *Center for Torture Victims*.<sup>25</sup> Owing to the armed conflicts in the former Yugoslavia which is ongoing almost a decade there are emerging reports on gross human rights violations, including torture and other forms of inhuman, degrading and cruel treatment. These include the physical and psychological trauma of individual victims of violence, torture, and rape. Reports of physicians and other health professionals have proved to be extremely useful in determine and verifying both individual cases and broader patterns

---

*Prevention of Torture* (APT) International Conference NGO Empowerment in Preventing Torture in South-Eastern Europe, Athens, October 1999.

<sup>25</sup> Legal aid activity of the Center Against Torture of the Yugoslav Lawyers Committee for Human Rights (and its planned forensic team) include: a) identification of torture or other forms of deliberate violence victims, their registration and referring to forensic examination.; b) forensic examination of refereed torture or deliberate violence victims in according to a pre-set protocol which includes detailed case histories; c) in cases where applicable, medical examination will be followed by laboratory testing and other necessary diagnostics; d) preparation of documentation, medical records, photo documentation and legal documents as evidence material; e) legal and social interventions that include assistance to beneficiaries to identify and realize their legal and social rights through social support and information giving, etc.

of abuse through medical histories and physical examinations of former prisoners and detained who suffered due to any other form of deliberate violence. These can often produce legal evidence of abuse more credible and less vulnerable to challenge.

Both the Constitution of Yugoslavia (Art. 23–24) and the Constitution of Serbia (Art. 26) have provisions prohibiting torture, inhuman treatment and punishment. This is further elaborated by the respective criminal laws. The federal (Yugoslav) Criminal Law (1976, 1944) proclaims that criminal legislation protects from “violence, arbitrary decisions, breach of legality and constitutionality, as well as the fundamental human rights and freedoms”. In a separate chapter (No. 19) the Law prescribes felonies (related to possible torture) that are explicitly sanctioned: unlawful deprivation of freedom (Art. 189); extortion of confession (Art. 190), ill-treatment in performance of duty (Art. 191), etc. The Criminal Law of Serbia contains similar provisions, including a provision that „...to a the convicted person no physical pain may be induced nor may his human dignity be breached” (Art. 2). The federal Criminal Procedure Law also contains provisions that prohibit torture and ill-treatment.

The Yugoslav Lawyers Committee For Human Rights, in its activity on human rights protection has had several torture-related cases. Of the most recent, they include the so-called “Vranic case”, i.e. a trial of a group of 12 Albanians before the court in (the southern Serbian town of) Prokuplje during which procedures, *inter alia*, one of the accused stated that



“he was beaten in such a way that he lost consciousness at least three times”<sup>26</sup>, and a case reported to the Committee on the sexual ill-treatment and abuse of women prisoners in the Serbian city of Leskovac.<sup>27</sup>

As conclusion, it can be said that in the concrete case of Yugoslavia, the rule of law, although proclaimed in the Constitutions of Serbia and Yugoslavia, practically does not exist. The official establishment ignores both “positive” and “negative” obligations to which it has committed itself by signing and/or ratifying international conventions. It has failed to harmonize existing legislation with European and/or international standards. Nor will it provide efficient protection against human rights violations or remedies for victims against human rights violators. The tragic and dramatic events in Kosovo and parts of Serbia proper where massive protest against the regime took place in recent months, clearly demonstrate that the Federal and Serbian Governments remain unwilling to embark on substantial democratic, political, and legal reforms. However, growing public dissatisfaction and pressure on the existing governments have opened a window of opportunity for substantial political changes and concrete legal reforms in the near future.

---

<sup>26</sup> The Yugoslav Lawyers Committee For Human Rights, *Report*, November 1999.

<sup>27</sup> The Yugoslav Lawyers Committee For Human Rights, *Report*, November 1999.



## SADRŽAJ

NOTA BENE	
<i>Mr Dejan Milenković</i> .....	13
I. TORTURA – INSTRUMENT PROTIV DEMOKRATIJE	
<i>Dr Stevan Lilić, Biljana Kovačević-Vučo</i> .....	21
II. MEĐUNARODNI I EVROPSKI MEHANIZMI BORBE PROTIV TORTURE .....	28
A. Uloga i značaj međunarodnih mehanizama zaštite ljudskih prava	
<i>Biljana Kovačević-Vučo</i> .....	28
B. Ujedinjene nacije – dokumenti i mehanizmi borbe protiv torture	
<i>Mr Dejan Milenković</i> .....	34
C. Evropske konvencije i mehanizmi borbe protiv torture	
<i>Mr Dejan Milenković</i> .....	49
D. Međunarodni dokumenti, medicinski aspekt i tortura	
<i>Dr Đorđe Alempijević</i> .....	55
III. USTAVNI I ZAKONSKI OKVIRI ZAŠTITE OD TORTURE U JUGOSLOVENSKOM PRAVU .....	69
A. Ustav i jugoslovensko zakonodavstvo	
<i>Mr Dejan Milenković</i> .....	69
B. Određeni aspekti policijskog pritvora	
<i>Dušan Ignjatović</i> .....	85

C. Bringing Cases to Court – Legal Aspects of Fighting Torture <i>Dr Stevan Lilic</i> .....	99
IV. ULOGA I ZNAČAJ MEĐUNARODNIH I NACIONALNIH NEVLADINIH ORGANIZACIJA U BORBI PROTIV TORTURE ( <i>Mr Dejan Milenković</i> ) .....	
A. O ulozi i značaju nevladinih organizacija u borbi protiv torture.....	112
B. Međunarodni savet za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture (IRCT, Kopenhagen).....	117
C. Asocijacija za sprečavanje torture (ATP, Ženeva) .....	119
D. Balkanska mreža sa sprečavanje torture i pomoć žrtvama (B.AN, Atina). ....	121
1. Centar za pomoć licima koja su preživela torturu (ACET, Sofija).....	124
2. Centar za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture (CTV „Most”, Sarajevo).....	126
3. Medicinski rehabilitacioni centar za pomoć žrtvama torture (MRCT, Atina).....	129
4. Centar za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture i drugih oblika zlostavljanja (CRTV, Solun) .....	131
5. Međunarodni centar za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture (IRCT, Zagreb) .....	133
6. Albanski centar za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture (ARCT, Tirana).....	135
7. Kosovski centar za rehabilitaciju žrtava torture (KRST, Priština) .....	138
8. Međunarodna mreža pomoći (IAN, Beograd).....	140
9. Stres klinika (Beograd).....	142

10. Jugoslovenski komitet pravnika za ljudska prava – Centar protiv torture (YUCOM–CPT, Beograd) .....	144
V. TORTURA – NEKOLIKO SUDSKIH SLUČAJEVA CENTRA PROTIV TORTURE ( <i>Dušan Ignjatović</i> )..	151
A. Suđenje grupi Albanaca u Prokuplju .....	152
B. Slučaj Flore Brovine kao karakterističan primer psihičke torture, nečovečnog i degradirajućeg ponašnja i iznuđivanja dokaza .....	156
C. Nečovečno ponašanje pripadnika policije, prebijanje i zastrašivanje svedoka.....	159
D. Slučaj prebijanja i pritvaranja pripadnika Narodnog pokreta OTPOR u Požarevcu.....	162
E. Slučaj prebijanja Anike i Jovane Krstić od strane policije na demonstracijama SZP (septembar 1999).....	169
VI. DODATAK: MEĐUNARODNI DOKUMENTI O TORTURI .....	173
A. Konvencija protiv mučenja i drugih svirepih, nečovečnih ili ponižavajućih kazni ili postupaka (UN, 1984).....	173
B. Evropska konvencija o sprečavanju mučenja i nečovečnih ili ponižavajućih kazni ili postupaka (Savet Evrope, 1987) .....	197
C. Statut Balkanske mreže za sprečavanje torture i pomoć žrtvama (B.AN, 1996).....	207
D. Posebne odredbe Krivičnog zakona Grčke protiv torture (1984) .....	212
E. Smernice za politiku Evropske unije prema trećim zemljama u odnosu na torturu i druge oblike okrutnog, nečovečnog ili ponižavajućeg postupanja i kažnjavanja (Savet Evropske unije, 2001) .....	221

## CONTENTS

NOTA BENE	
<i>Dejan Milenkovic, M.A.</i>	17
I TORTURE – INSTRUMENT AGAINST DEMOCRACY	
<i>Dr. Stevan Lilic, Biljana Kovacevic-Vuco</i>	21
II INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN MECHANISMS OF FIGHTING TORTURE	28
A. The Role and Importance of International and European Mechanisms in Human Rights Protection	
<i>Biljana Kovacevic-Vuco</i>	28
B. United Nations – Documents and Mechanisms Against Torture	
<i>Dejan Milenkovic, M.A.</i>	34
C. European Conventions and Mechanisms Against Torture	
<i>Dejan Milenkovic, M.A.</i>	49
D. International Documents, Medical Aspect and Torture	
<i>Dr. Djordje Alempijevic</i>	55
III CONSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF PROTECTION AGAINST TORTURE IN THE YUGOSLAV LEGAL SYSTEM	69
A. The Constitution and The Yugoslav Legal System	
<i>Dejan Milenkovic, M.A.</i>	69
B. Some Aspects of Police Custody	
<i>Dusan Ignjatovic</i>	85

C. Bringing Cases to Court – Legal Aspects of Fighting Torture <i>Dr. Stevan Lilic</i> .....	99
---	----

IV THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL NON-GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS IN FIGHTING TORTURE ( <i>Dejan Milenkovic, M.A.</i> ).....	112
A. The Role and Importance of NGO's in Fighting Torture .....	112
B. International Rehabilitation Council for Torture Victims (IRCT, Copenhagen).....	117
C. Association for the Prevention of Torture (ATP, Geneva).....	119
D. The Balkan Network for the Prevention of Torture and Rehabilitation of Victims (B.AN, Athens).....	121
1. Assistance Center for Torture Survivors (ACET, Sofia).....	124
2. Center for Torture Victims “Most” (CTV, Sarajevo) .....	126
3. Medical Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (MRCT, Athens) .....	129
4. The Rehabilitation Center for Victims of Torture and Other Forms of Abuse (CRTV, Thessaloniki) .....	131
5. International Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (IRCT, Zagreb).....	133
6. Albanian Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (ARCT, Tirana).....	135
7. The Kosovo Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (KIST, Pristina).....	138

8. International Aid Network (IAN, Belgrade).....	140
9. The Stress Clinic (Belgrade).....	142
10. The Yugoslav Lawyers Committee for Human Rights, Center Against Torture (YUCOM-CAT, Belgrade) .....	144
V CASES OF YUCOM-CAT PROVIDING LEGAL AID TO TORTURE VICTIMS	
( <i>Dusan Ignjatovic</i> ) .....	151
A. Trial Against Ethnic Albanian Group in Prokuplje.....	152
B. The Flora Brovina Case – Characteristic Example of Mental Torture and Inhuman and Degrading Treatment .....	156
C. Inhuman Treatments by Police, Beating and Intimidating Witnesses .....	159
D. Beating and Arresting OTPOR Members in Pozarevac .....	162
E. The Anika and Jovana Krstic Case – Beating by Police During Demonstrations (September, 1999).....	169
VI APPENDIX.....	173
A. Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (UN, 1984).....	173
B. European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Council of Europe, 1987) .....	197
C. Statute of the Balkan Network for the Prevention of Torture and Rehabilitation of Victims (B.AN, 1996) .....	207



D. Special provisions of the Greek Penal Code regarding torture (1984).....	212
E. Guidelines to European Union Policy Towards Third Countries on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Council of The European Union, 2001).....	221